Previous references.

1913-14
190 .

File No. 34 13

Serial Nos 172

(1 enclosure)

Later references.

8

1391 29-1-28

1320-40-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1922

1924

Serial Nos. 172

Part B. for Oct 13

SUBJECT.

Grant of certain insignia
re the Survey of Pakhpoke
Lett. Yelahanka

Table of Contents

1 From the Deputy Commissioner Kadur
No 15 dt 5-7-13
submitting report on certain insignia
claimed by the Survey of Parkwakkam

2. To the Deputy Commissioner, Kadu Camp Hassan
and the Manager Lands in his Lr No 1074-69 3/10/13
Sanctioned the use of certain insignia
by the Surveyors

G. R. S. 53

Recorded 16-2-14.

G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inungai)

NOTES.

File No. 34/3

FILE HEADING.

Grant of certain rights to the swamy of Palkunka matt at yelahanka

From

The Dy Commr, Kadur Dist

No. 15 Dated 8-7-1913 Received

Diary No. 116	Enclos Vern Files Spare Copies	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits further report called for in Govt Pro. No 189 Dy 10th Nov 1913 in certain insignia claimed by the Swamy of Palkunka matt at yelahanka

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Inungai F. No 114-08 is herewith submitted.

Bauer? The Swamy of the Palkunka matt at yelahanka in the Chickmagalur Taluk appeals to Govt against the Dy Comrs order referring him to civil courts to establish his right

Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 34 of 1913

Serial No.

to the insignia claimed by the Matt. After receipt of the Dy. Commrs. report which was called for on the subject, it was ~~directed~~ observed in para 3 of Govt. Pro. no. 189 Dy 10th Novr 1908 that questions relating to insignia should be disposed of by the Executive Govt. (vide para 9 of G.O. no. 101-10 Dy 29th Octr 1908 about the grant of insignia to the Sirigere new matt). The Dy. Commrs. order referring the Swamy to a Civil Court was therefore set aside, and the case was remanded to him for disposal on its merits.

The Dy. Commr has now submitted his further report on the subject.

The matter was refd to Mr Chakrapani Iyengar (Amildar of Chikmagalur and latterly Ag. Dy. Assistant Commr) for enquiry and report. After holding a thorough enquiry and examining the exhibits filed by Palkurke matt and the counter-petrs (some villagers of Nagalandi) Mr chakrapani Iyengar has submitted detailed reports. The original Sanad granted in the year 1625 by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III

X. S

X. (Kept in the case)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-13.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 34 of 1913

Serial No.

to Palkunke matt has been produced. In his order referring the apltt to the civil court, the ~~Asst~~ Dy. Commr states that "there seems to be also, some doubt as to the identity of the present Swamy as compared to the Swamy refd to in the above. recrip." As regards this point, the Amildar states the Swamy of Palkunke who is also the Swamy of the Yelahanka matt, is entitled to go in procession with the insignias mentioned by him - The insignias claimed by the Swamy and mentioned in the Sanad are (1) Palki, (2) chamara (3) chatri (4) Bellikattige (5) Yettinamale Nagari and (6) Kahale -

The Counter petrs (Rudre Gowda and Santappa of Nugalavalli) doubt the genuineness of the Sanad on the ground that no entry thereof is made in the "Shaka" books of Govt - The Amildar states that he is unable to say whether or not the Huzur Shaka register contains the entry of all the Sanads granted by his late Highness Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur - This ~~one~~ Sanad was produced in the civil court in the year 1889

X

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 34 of 1913

Serial No.

and the Dy. Commr states that the Contention of the Counter-petrs is rightly rejected by the Inq. Asstt Commr in as much as the authenticity of the original Sanad has been admitted in the civil courts and concludes from the proceedings of enquiry held by the Amildar that the Swamy has on some previous occasions gone in procession through the streets with such insignia. The Dy. Commr thinks that the insignia claimed are neither the subject of any special significance as being the exclusive privilege of any particular individual or class of individuals, nor of any grant. The Dy. Commr adds that the Swamy who now prays for a permit has a large no. of disciples in the Chikmagalur and other Taluks of the Distt and that the request seems to be a reasonable one, while the contention by the disciples of the Balehonnur Matt is mala fide as enunciated in the preamble of Grant under Dy 24th June 1904.

U

Submitted for orders

SRC(32) 29.7.1913. GL

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

116 5

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 34 of 1913

Serial No.

Subd to Ld Councillor

The Treasury Asst Commr Kadur (Mr. S. Chakrapany Iyengar) has made an elaborate enquiry into the case and holds that the Yeelchanka Swamy is the same as the head of the Palkuki Mutt & that the Sanad relied upon relates, that there is nothing to show that the Sanad is not genuine and that there is reliable evidence to show that the disputed paraphernalia were being paraded in villages occupied by the disciples of the Mut Mutt. The appellant claims to parade the following insignia — Palki, Chamara Chatri, Bellikatteige, Yethinmele Nagari and Kahale. The Asst Commr is of opinion that the appellant has proved his title to the use of these. In the Secretariat Compilation, an extract from which is hereto appended, all these insignia with a few more viz Silver badge, and Suryapana, are mentioned. A Sanad allowing the use of the insignia claimed may be issued.

It is a matter for consideration

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-19.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

whether the subject is one for the orders of His Highness. The present case is one for the recognition of title to parade certain insignia claimed under a Sanad, the insignia themselves being of the ordinary variety

Asst
4.8.13

The claim may be allowed.

Dewan
5/8/13

4465
8-8-13
with item etc

WS
6/8

Asst
6/8/13

I agree.

with me his

The papers may be circulated to the 2nd Councillor also, and then sent up with a draft submission note, as the case has to go up to His Highness the Maharaja, for orders.

23.8.13. RG

by
23/8

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

Asst
23-8-13

I agree
Will.

B VII
Do the submitted
with draft submission
note
WS
23/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12. 2518

Extract from the Secretariat Compilation

of insignia allowed to Several Natts.

(Page 63)

Palukurkiellatt	Jalahanka	Palanquin, 1 Horn, 1 umbrella, 4 Silver badges, 2 Chamaarah, 2 Silver maces, 2 Soorepanahs, 2 Drums on bulls A Gold and Silver Seal Called Guru mudré	The Samads granted by the Rajah to Command appearance, to have been filed in the Tankur Dt. Court.

Ans
4 8 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Genl File No. 34 of 1913–14

Serial No.

A draft Submission note is put up.
Submitted for Secretary through the
1st Councillor
12.5
Sd
28.8.13

Final note by the First Councillor
is herewith put up and submitted
5868/5.9.13
Sd
1.9.13.
Sd
1/9
Submitted to Secretary
Sd
2.9.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

Final Note by the First Councillor.

Subject:— Grant of certain insignia to the Swami of Palkurki Matt at Yelahanka.

These papers relate to the claim advanced by the Swami of Palkurki Matt at Yelahanka in the Belur Taluk to parade certain insignia during his tours to and visitation at, the villages of his disciples.

2. On 3rd September 1906, an application for the issue of a license was made to the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, on behalf of the Palkurki Swami with permission for the use of Palki, Chatri and certain other insignia during the Swami's visit to certain villages in the Chickmagalur Taluk. Certain villagers of Mugalavalli of that Taluk protested against the grant of such a license. An enquiry was made by the Amildar and on receipt of a report from him, the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. D. Devaraj Urs) granted an endorsement to both the parties that the license would be granted if an application was made after the subsidence of plague.

3. Upon this order, the villagers of Mugalavalli submitted a review petition and Mr. Kumaraswami Naik who was then in charge of the District, expressed a doubt as regards the subsisting right of the Yelahanka Swami to parade the insignia in question and referred him to establish his right before a Court of competent jurisdiction

4. The Yelahanka Swami in his turn applied to Mr. K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar who had, by that time, taken charge of the District, for a reconsideration of the above order. But Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar was of opinion that he was not competent to go behind the order until it was formally set aside. Thereupon, the Yelahanka Swami appealed

st the order of Mr. Kumaraswami Naik. In Govern-

ment

10

Government Order No. 189/Muz. F. 114-08-2 dated 10th November 1908, the Deputy Commissioner's order was set aside on the ground that such questions should be decided on the executive side and the case was remanded to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal on merits.

5. on receipt of the above order, the Deputy Commissioner heard the parties and framed the issues for decision and referred the -- case to the Amildar for taking evidence. Ample opportunities were given to both the parties to tender their evidence and argue their case. The Deputy Commissioner now submits the record for the orders of Government and is of opinion that the Yelahanka Swami is identical with the Swami of the Palkurki Matt mentioned in the Sannad of 1825, that there is nothing to impugn the genuineness of this Sannad and that there is some reliable evidence to show that the Swami had,on some previous occasions, gone in procession with such insignia.

6. From the compilation prepared in the Secretariat in 1888, an extract from which is on the record, it is seen that this Swami is entitled to parade the marginally-noted insignia. The claim now put forward is confined to items 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8 of the above. The insignia in themselves are not of any special -- significance.

*

(1)	Palanquin.	
(2)	Horn.	
(3)	Umbrella.	
(4)	Silver badges	4.
(5)	Chamaras.	2.
(6)	Silver maces.	2.
(7)	Surepana.	2.
(8)	Drums on bulls.	2.
(9)	Gold and silver seal called 'Gurumudre'.	

7. The claim may, as agreed to in Council, be allowed.

18 Sept 1913 H Manjunadayya

11

Submitted to His Highness.

(3)

I submit herewith a 'Final Note' by the First Councillor in the matter of the claim of the Swami of Palkurki Matt at Yelahanka in the Belur Taluk to certain Insignia.

2. The recommendation of the First Councillor, which has been agreed to by the Second Councillor, namely, to allow the Swami the use of the undermentioned insignia, may be sanctioned:-

(1) Palanquin.
(2) Horn.
(3) Umbrella.
(4) Chamaras 2.
(5) Silver maces 2.
(6) Drums on bulls 2.

M. Visvesvaraya

6th September 1913.

NR

12

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Grant of certain insignia to the Swamy of Talkurki Matt at Yelahanka in the Belur Taluk.

IM-228

Order.

Sanctioned
~~KRW~~
9/9/13

Sanctns

10-9-13

12/9/13

Draft
12
13.9.13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 34 of 1913.

Serial No.

Submd. with a Off. order
DV(IS)
17-9-1913.

✓ HRA 19/9 Subd. to Ld. Councillor
Sec
18.9.13

Draft appd. by Ld. Councillor
MSS 20-9-13

Issue
29/9

[The branch will please note that its spelling of proper names has again been found fault with. So far at least as names of places are concerned there is no excuse, there being an authorised spelling of those names. As regards the names of persons Some system will have to be adopted and a list of at least the most commonly names kept ready for reference. The drafting clerks and the Supdt. will please take note of the above

Ld
[I should like to have also typed lists of the above on my table for reference]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 34 of 1913

Serial No.	
2	To The Dy. Commr. Kadur Dt. The Dy. Commr. Hassan Dt. The Asst. Supdt. of Mysore No. 1074-6 & 3.10.13 Sanctions the use of certain virginia by the Evangelo

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

1913-14

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

DIARY NO. 1/15

To 19/3/14
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore 3-4-13 General & Revenue
DIARY NO. 1 Departments
Bangalore.

Dated 5-4-13

Despatched

Received

General No. 1

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting application made on behalf of the Swami of Palkunke Mutt at Jalahanka and certain other papers connected therewith for favorable permitting the Swami to go with certain insignias.

Work up the case early

Put up

Rec
(7-7-13)

GPB—56,000—26-9-12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.
Dated Chikmagalur 8-7-1913

From

K.Krishna Iyer Esquire.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq.M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.The
Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Para 3 of G.O. No.109/Mmz 114-08-3 dated 10-11-08 forwarding the application made on behalf of the Swami of Palkurke Mutt at Yelahanka being allowed to go in procession with certain insignias while passing through the streets and remanding the case for disposal on its merits, I have the honor to state that the matter was referred to Mr.Chakrapani Iyengar(Amildar of Chikmagalur and latterly Ag.Treasury Assistant Commissioner) for enquiry and report.

2.The Proceedings of enquiry held by him and the conclusions arrived at by him are embodied in the accompanying records ending with the Tresury Assistant Commissioner's No.64 dated 12-8-10.

3.From the enquiry it is established beyond doubt that the Swami of Palkurke who is also the Swami of Yelahanka Mutt is entitled to go in procession with the insignias mentioned by him.

4. The insignias with which he claims to go in procession are:-

(i) Palki,(ii)Chamara,(iii)Chatri(umbrella),(iv)Bellikattige(silver sticks) &(v)Yethinamele Nagari(Drum on bull) & (vi) Kahale(trumpet)

and these are neither the subject of any special significance

as being the exclusive privilege of any particular individual or class of individuals nor of any grant. However there has been a sannad so far back as 30th. June 1865 by H.H. The late Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur, Maharaja of Mysore, entitling the Swami to use the above signias. The genuineness of this sannad has been disputed by the counterpetitioners contending against the grant of the license to the Swami on the ground that no entry is found of the grant of sannad in "Shaha" books of Government, but this contention is rightly rejected by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner in as much as the original sannad has been produced and its authenticity has been admitted in the Civil Court so far back as 1889 (Judgement in Original Suit No. 266 of 1888 on the file of the Munsiff of Tumkur, Exhibit A in the file accompanying).

5. The contention of the counterpetitioners against the grant of the license seems mainly to be on the ground that the swami on no previous occasion passed in procession through the streets. This contention by itself does not appear to lend any weight whatever and further the recorded evidence is so contradictory that a conclusion may be safely arrived at that the swami has on some previous occasions gone in procession through the streets with such insignia.

6. I may add that the swami for whose going in procession a permit has been prayed for has a large number of desciples in the Chikmagalur and other Taluks of this District and the request seems be quite a reasonable one while the contention against it by

3

by the desciples of the Balehonnur Matt is made side as enunciated in the preamble to G.O.No.4007-Muz F 3..-oI dated 24-6-04, and I submit the record as desired in the last part of Para 2 of Govt. order No. IoI-Io/Muz 68-o6-2 dated 29-Io-oC for orders in favour of the Swami being passed by Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

NB. Kadur District.

4

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1332

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
596
6/8/06
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore Bangalore

Petition N° of 1908 09

Petitioner The Swamy of the Palkurke Matt
at Yelwanka by his Parpattegar
and Agents Veeranna Shetty
Puppanna Shetty and Mallappa Shetty

Versus

Counter Petitioners 1 Rudregowda } Residents of
2 Shankegowda } Moogavalli village
Chickmagloor Taluk

The Petitioner humbly and respectfully
begs to submit

1, That on the 3rd September 1906 he made an
application to the District Magistrate of Kadur
for a Pahadari to visit such of the villages
in the Chickmagloor Taluk where his disciples
lived, with insignia attached to his Matt by
a Firman of His Highness Maharaja
Krishnaraj Wadyar III in 1825

2, That on the 4th idem the Counter-petitioners
opposed the Application and the then District
Magistrate Mr D Devaraj Urs directed an
Enquiry into the matter by the Amildar
of Chickmagloor

3, That the Amildar after Enquiry reported
that the Petitioners prayer might be granted
and a Pahadari issued after the plague
which was then raging in the Taluk
had subsided.

5

4. That the District Magistrate Mr Devarajan passed orders accordingly giving an Endorsement to the Petitioner to the effect that a Pahadari would be issued if an Application should be made after the plague has stopped He also intimated his decision to the Counter-petitioners by an Endorsement N° 575 & 30th October 1906

5. That the Petitioner who had postponed his tour on account of plague and other circumstances renewed his application on the 3rd July last and prayed for issue of a pahadari in pursuance of the orders of Mr Devarajan of the 30th October 1906 but the present District Magistrate Mr K R Srinivasangar declined to grant the Petitioner's prayer on the ground that there was an order passed subsequently by Mr Kumaraswami Naik to the effect that no license could be granted to the petitioner until he established his right to use the insignia in a Civil Court and produced a Decree and he could not go behind it

6. That the Petitioner was unaware of the Existence of an order by Mr Kumarswami Naik and he cannot imagine the circumstances under which it was passed as no notice was given to the Petitioner and he was not heard to the contrary. It was an Ex parte order and therefor of no legal force and the Petitioner's Advocate pleaded this but the District Magistrate paid no heed but bound perhaps by official Etiquette not to interfere with an order passed by his immediate predecessor in Office

7. That Mr Komarswami Naik acted illegally in recording an order Ex parte

virtually over-ruling the acts of his predecessor in Office Mr. Devaraj urs who had, after due enquiry, directed the issue of a rahadari to the Petitioner thus setting in judgment over him.

8 That Mr. Kumar Swamy Naik acted improperly in questioning the validity of the Nimp on the mere ipse-dixit of the Counter-petitioner and in directing the Petitioner to Establish in a Civil Court a right which he had for a long time past Exercised without any opposition

The Petitioner therefore respectfully prays the Government to be pleased in Exercise of their Revisional powers, to call for the proceedings of the case and after perusing them and hearing the Petitioner, rescind the order of Mr. Kumar Swami Naik and remit the Petitioners application for a Rahadari to the District Magistrate for his disposal according to the merits of the case and the Government is pleased to direct the issue of the rahadari on the strength of the Orders of Mr Devaraj urs allowing the Petitioners application.

And which act of Justice the Petitioner will, as in duty bound Ever pray.

Bangalore
3rd August
1908

ಅಭಿಮಾನಿ ಸೇವಕರು ರಾಜಕುಮಾರ್—
ಪಾರ್ವತಮ್ಮ ರಾಜಕುಮಾರ್—

By Rama Rangaiyar
Advocate for Petitioner

4. That the District Magistrate Mr. Devarajan passed orders accordingly giving an endorsement to the Petitioner to the effect that a Pahadari would be issued if an Application should be made after the plague has stopped. He also intimated his decision to the Counter-Petitioners by an Endorsement No 575 of 30th October 1906.

5. That the Petitioner who had postponed his tour on account of plague and other circumstances renewed his application on the 3rd July last and prayed for issue of a Pahadari in pursuance of the orders of Mr. Devarajan of the 30th October 1906. but the present District Magistrate Mr. K R Srinivasangar declined to grant the Petitioner's prayer on the ground that there was an order passed subsequently by Mr. Kumaraswami Naik to the effect that no license could be granted to the petitioner until he established his right to use the in Bajjia in a Civil Court and produced a Decree and he could not go behind it.

6. That the Petitioner was unaware of the Existence of an order by Mr. Kumaraswami Naik and he cannot imagine the circumstances under which it was passed as no notice was given to the Petitioner and he was not heard to the contrary. It was an Ex parte order and therefor of no legal force and the Petitioner's Advocate pleaded this but the District Magistrate paid no heed but bound perhaps by official Etiquette not to interfere with an order passed by his immediate predecessor in Office

7. That Mr. Kumaraswami Naik acted illegally in recording an order Ex parte.

Order on the petition presented on the 3rd July 1908 by Mr. Y V. Basappa Advocate for the petitioners Chikkamagowda and Veeranna setty, praying for the grant of a license for their Swami to go in procession with certain insignias through the Chikmagalur Taluk. —

Petn

On reference to the record of the office I find that an order has been passed by my predecessor Mr. Kumarasamy Naik, on 14th August-07, saying that the swami on whose behalf the — this application has been presented should establish in the civil court his right to use the insignias before a license can be issued in his favour authorising their use.

Mr. Venkatadasappa says that he was not aware of the above order of Mr. Kumarasami Naik and that it had been passed Exparte. — But whatever may be the nature of the order — Exparte or otherwise — I think I am not competent to go behind it until it is formally set aside.

I find myself therefore ... the

S

unable to grant the license applied for by the petitioners.

(Sd) K R. Srinivasaiengar
Deputy Commissioner

3/7/08

Copy
C Lingamiah
Judl Asst

Copy applied for on 20/7/08
— Do — Ready for delivery 21/Do
— Do — Delivered on — /7/08.

No of words. — 176

Copying fee recovered 0-3-0
Comparing fee — Do — 0-0-8
Total — 0-3-8

Compared by
C.S.

9

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated, Chikmagalur
14th August 1907

Order

Timmanjegowda, Patel of Halebade Village Belur Taluk and Mokhtiyar of the Swami of the Palkurki Matt, residing at Yelahanka of the said Taluk presented an application for a license for the said Swami going in procession with certain insignia as per Nirup dated 20th June 1825; alleged to have been granted to the said Matt by H. H. Sri Krishna Raja Wadeyar Bahadur, late Maharaja of Mysore. per Sheristadar C.S. — to such of the Villages in the Chikmagalur Taluk as contain disciples of the said Matt — The applicant produced for reference, the original Nirup referred to, and also a copy of the Huzur order of the Hassan District, permitting the Matt to employ bellee peons. —

2. Ruregowda and Kantappa of Moogala-Halli Village, Chikmagalur Taluk presented a petition protesting against the issue of such license on the ground that the Nirup referred to has no manner of connection with the Yelahanka Swami, that

10 that it will therefore be against Mamool, if the Swami be permitted to use the insignia and in the said Nirup, that the Swami has not used the said insignia on any previous occasion; and that the Swami's attempt in 1869, even to go simply on horse-back with ordinary music and bhabri proved a failure.

3. The petitions of both parties were referred to the Amildar of Chidambaram for enquiry and report. The Amildar reported to the effect that the license applied for might be granted, after the subsidence of plague.

An endorsement to the effect was a granted to both the petitioner and the counter-petitioners.

4. The counter-petitioners have now presented another petition through Pleader Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao repeating their protest against the issue of license to the Swami referred to, for reasons already stated by them.

5. The Nirup on which the Swami is supposed to rely is so old as the year 1825. The Swami as apparently not produced any license for having stalled in procession in any of the villages of the Chidambaram taluk with any insignia ever since he obtained the Nirup. There seems to be also some doubt as to the identity of the present Swami as compared to the Swami referred to in the above said Nirup.

No license can therefore be granted to the present Swami unless he establishes his right in a Civil court and produces a decree before this office to that effect.

Compared
Sd/

Sd/ B. J Honnaswami naik
officiating Deputy Commissioner

Copy applied for by Veeramma on 4.7.1908.
Copy ready ——— 4.7.1908.
Copy delivered ——— 4.7.1908.

No of Words. 637.
Copying fees. 0-10-6.
Comparing fees. 0-3-6.

Total 0-13-6.

True Copy—

C. Swaminath
Judicial Head Munshi
Kadur District Office

12

574

[illegible]

Copy"
B.N. [illegible]
dar.

"Copies.

By order.
[illegible]
Judicial Head munshi
Kadiri District

13

Copy applied for by Veeranna Setty
on 4. 6 1907
— Do — ready. 11. 6. 1907
— Do — . delivered.

No: of words - 164.
Copying fees. 0-3-0.
Comparing fees. 0-0-6
Total 0-3-6

14

575.

Sd/- D Devaraj Urs.
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Copy
By order
Judicial Headmunshi
Kadur District

Copy applied for by Veeramma on 4.6.07

Do ready 10 6 07.

Do delivered.

Nos of words - 122.

Copying fees - 0-3-0.

Comparing fees - 0-0-6.

Total - 0-3-6.

G.R.

In the Court of the Government of Mysore.
Revision Petition No. of 1908 09.

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ

190 190 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ, Petitioner

Veeramma Shetty
Puppamma Shetti
Mallappa Shetty.
Parpattegar & Agents
of the Halkurki
Yalavanka Matt.

Contra ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ, Petitioner

1, Rudregowda
2. Shantegowda
of Moogevalli
Chikmagalur Taluk
Kadur Distt

ಮಾನ್ಯ ರಾ॥ ರಾ॥ ಆರ್-ಆರ್ ವಾಸುದೇವಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ.

ಸೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಎದುರು-ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ — ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಿತ್ರ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿವರವುಳ್ಳ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕ್ಯೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು. ಕಿಲ್ಲು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕ್ಯೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸಾಮಾನು ಪ್ರೋಸಸ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕ್ಯೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಚಿತ್ರ ದಸ್ತ್ರ ವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕ್ಯೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು -

ತಾರೀಖು ಈ ೨೨ನೇ 1908ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಜೂನ್

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Advocate

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಿಟ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ.
Irish Press, Bangalore City.

(Sanctions certain insignia to the Palkurke Matt at Yelerkanka in the Belur Tq).

17

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 54/13
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 116

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Jmz)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 3. 10 1913

Signed by M. G. Narasimha Iyengar

READ— Petition regd. no 596 Dy 6th August 1908 from the Swamy of the Palkurke matt at Yelerkanka in the Belur Taluk ~~appealing~~ appealing against the order of the ~~ORDER THEREON~~ No. Kadur Dy. Commr. referring the Swamy to Civil Courts to establish the remaining his right, if any, to certain insignia claimed by the matt

Govt Pro. no 189 Dy 10th
Jmz 114-08-3
011

SUBJECT.

10th November 1908 remanding the case to the Kadur Dy. Commr for disposal on its merits with the observation that questions relating to claims to insignia should be disposed of by the Executive Govt.

Letter no 15 Dy 8th July 1913 from the Dy. Commr, Kadur Distt submitting further report on the subject.

Order thereon — No. 1074-6 Emn 324-13 2

3 3 93

On 3rd Sept 1906, an application for the issue of a License was made to the Dy. Commr, Kadur Distt, on behalf of the Palkurke Swamy with permission for the use of Palanquin, umbrella and certain other insignia during the Swamy's visit to certain villages in the Chikmagalur Taluk. Certain Villagers of Mugalavalli of that Taluk protested against the

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

of such a License. On receipt of a report from the Amildar, who held a preliminary enquiry in the matter, the Dy. Commr (Mr. D. Devaraj) directed that the License would be granted if an application was made after the subsidence of plague. Upon this order, the Villagers of Mugalavalli submitted a review petition and Mr. Kumaraswamy Naik who was then in charge of the Distt., expressed a doubt as regards the subsisting right of the Yelahanka Swamy to parade the insignia in question and referred him to establish his right before a court of Competent jurisdiction. The Yelahanka Swamy in his turn applied to Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar who had taken charge of the Distt., for a re-consideration of the above order; and that Officer

GPS—12,000—15-2-13.

was of opinion that he was not competent to go behind the order until it was formally set aside. Thereupon, the Yelahanka Swamy appealed to Govt against the order of Mr Kumara Swamy Naick. On the Govt order of 10th November 1908, the Dy. Commrs. order was set aside on the ground that such questions should be decided on the Executive side and the case was remanded to the Dy. Commr for disposal on merits. After hearing the parties and perusing the records connected with the case, the Dy. Commr now reports that the Yelahanka Swamy is identical with the Swamy of the Palkurke mutt mentioned in the Sanad of 1825, that there is nothing to impugn the genuineness of this Sanad and that there is some reliable

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

27

evidence to show that the Swamy had, on some previous occasions, gone in procession with such insignia.

2) Under the circs. reported, Govt. are pleased to sanction the use of the undermentioned insignia by the Swamy of the Palkurke matt at Yelehanka:—.

(1) Palanquin
(2) Hara
(3) Umbrella
(4) Chamaras (2)
(5) Silver maces (2)
(6) Drums or bills ~~(6)~~ (2)

Submit
Hm
20/9/13.

(H.S.'order)
NBR
To,

Sd
18/9/13
for Secretary.
AKK
18/9

The Dy. Commr., Kadur Dist.,
ret.q. the record.

" do —, Hassan Dist.,
" ~~Dy. Commrs. of other Dists. for info.~~
" Inspr. Genl. of Police.

GPS—12,000—15-2-13.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 3rd October 1913.

READ—

Petition registered No 596, dated 6th August 1908, from the Swamy of the Palkurke Matt of Yelahanka in the Belur Taluk, appealing against the order of the Kadur Deputy Commissioner referring the Swami to Civil Courts to establish his right, if any, to the parading of certain insignia claimed by the matt.

Government Proceedings No 189—Muz 114-08 3, dated 10th November 1908 remanding the case to the Kadur Deputy Commissioner for disposal on its merits with the observations relating to insignia should be disposed of by the executive Government

Letter No 15, dated 8th July 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting further report on the subject

---

No. 1074-6—Muz. 34-13-2, dated Bangalore, 3rd October 1913

ORDER THEREON —On 3rd September 1906 an application for the issue of a license was made to the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, on behalf of the Palkurke Swami with permission for the use of palanquin, umbrella and certain other insignia during the Swami's visit to certain villages in the Chikmagalur Taluk. Certain villagers of Mugalavalli of that taluk protested against the grant of such a license. On receipt of a report from the Amildar who held a preliminary enquiry in the matter, the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. D Devaraj Urs) directed that the license would be granted if an application was made after the subsidence of plague. Upon this order, the villagers of Mugalavalli submitted a review petition and Mr. Kumarasami Naik who was then in charge of the district expressed a doubt as regards the subsisting right of the Yelahanka Swamy to parade the insignia in question and referred him to establish his right before a court of competent jurisdiction. The Yelahanka Swami in his turn applied to Mr K R Srinivasa Iengar who had taken charge of the district, for a reconsideration of the above order and that officer was of opinion that he was not competent to go behind the order until it was formally set aside Thereupon, the Yelahanka Swamy appealed to Government against the order of Mr. Kumarasamy Naik In the Government Order of 10th November 1908, the Deputy Commissioner's order was set aside on the ground that such questions should be decided on the executive side and the case was remanded to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal, on merits After hearing the parties and perusing the records connected with the case, the Deputy Commissioner now reports that the Yelahanka Swamy is identical with the Swamy of the Palkurke Matt mentioned in the sannad of 1825, that there is nothing to impugn the genuineness of the sannad and that there is some reliable evidence to show that the Swamy had, on some previous occasions, gone in procession with such insignia.

2. Under the circumstances reported, Government are pleased to sanction the use of the undermentioned insignia by the Swamy of the Palkurke Matt a Yelahanka:—

1 Palanquin
2 Horn
3 Umbrella
4 Chamars (2)
5 Silver maces (2)
6 Drums on bulls (2)

Draft) Kumarasamy
Jr Secy. to Govt, Gen & Rev Depts.

To— The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning the records
The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.
The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore

Exd.—C. R.

1913-14

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 3rd October 1913

No. 1074-6 / Muz. 34-13-12

Permitting the use of certain insignia by the Swami of the Palkurke Matha at Yelahanka in the Belur Taluk.

GPB—27 10 13—200